Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 8/4/2015, 8/5/2015, 8/6/2015	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Tuscola	·
Location of Audit: Tuscola	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	
	_

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction Po	erformed By:
415 East Illinois Street, Tuscola, Illinois		Ameren Illinois	
Contractor Foreman:	No contractors on this job	site.	
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy		
	Person(s) On J	ob Site	
Dick Laley	Steve Quin	n	Greg Bare
<u> </u>			

### **Description of Construction:**

A new service line was installed at 415 East Illinois, Street, in Tuscola, Illinois. The service line was 1/2 inch Driscoplex pipe. The service line was air tested to 100# psig, with no leaks being found at this time.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	•	·
No steel pipe was installed at this job site.		
[192.59]	PE	Yes
General Comment:	•	•
1/2 inch Driscoplex pipe was installed.		
[192.59]	Other	No

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-6-2015

Page 1 of 5

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
No other pipe was installed.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60# MAOP
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe components were qualified for use on this job.		
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1/2 Inch Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	.090
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All pipe components were marked properly.		
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	8-25-15
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Dick Laley
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was joined according to O&M procedures		
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	2-25-15
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Steve Quinn
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
No metallic pipe was installed.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A cathodic protection reading was taken on the steel gas	s main with the reading being -1.21	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	Yes
General Comment:		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Anodes are being used to protect the gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
Rectifier are not being used in the distribution system in	Tuscola.	
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Wax wrap was used to recoat the steel main in the area	where the tap tee was installed.	
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The wax wrap installed on the main was inspected prior	to and during backfill to ensure it is not damaged.	
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Above ground piping was painted at the time of installati	on.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No other metallic structures in the area of this installation	1.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Back filling was tamped around the gas main and servic	e line connection.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A shield was installed to protect the service line connect	ion	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Tracer wire was installed into the ditch with the service la	ine.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line was installed at around 31 inches deep.		
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-6-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
The new service line was air tested to 1	00# psig, with no leaks being found at this time.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A service line installation drawing and a	pressure test are recorded.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Operator qualification records were insp	pected by staff.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Non-qualified personnel were being dire	ected by qualified personnel.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Span of control was being followed duri	ng this audit.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Cor	mpleted?	No
General Comment:	<u>'</u>	
A form 15 was not completed during thi	s audit.	
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A Julie notification was included into the	work packet.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X002101227
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
An EFV was installed on the new servic	e line at the main.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Steps were taken to try to prevent accid	lental ignition.	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-6-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-6-2015 Page 5 of 5